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Overview

• What will the future look like?

• What are we teaching now in relation to MT?

• What (else) should we teach and why?
What will the future look like?

• We need to consider...
  
  • Improvements in translation technology over the past decades
  • What the Language Industry Survey (2019) tells us
  • Debates over General AI vs. Narrow AI and the Future of Work
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What will the future look like?

• We need to consider...

  • What the Language Industry Survey (2019) tells us that:

    - MT is a clear priority for technology investment
    - A further 18% are ready to start with MT
    - 51% of companies want to increase use of MT
    - But not yet a standard tool: less than 20% use frequently
What will the future look like?

• We need to consider...

  • Debates over general AI vs. Narrow AI

    • “Currently, machines are able to process data faster than we can. But as human beings, we have the ability to think abstractly, strategize, and tap into our thoughts and memories to make informed decisions or come up with creative ideas.”

Imagining several possible futures

Translations by humans will be history

AI is just hype, nothing much will change

AI will deal with low-prestige content & humans with high-prestige/high stakes content

There will be some sort of intermediate symbiosis

Radical positions

Tempered, short-term positions
Imagining several possible futures

Translation by humans will be history

- Difficult to fathom
- Pessimistic outlook of AI says “no way!”
- Optimistic outlook of AI says “yes, probably”
Imagining several possible futures

AI is just hype, nothing much will change

• Look at how much change we’ve seen in 30 years!
• Can we risk adopting this position?
When machine translation becomes a ubiquitous commodity product, human language skills (plus translation?) will command a premium. The person who has a language in their head will always have the advantage over somebody who relies on a device...

Automated translation will deliver a commodity product: basic, practical, low-prestige information that helps people buy things or find their way around.

Imagining several possible futures

There will be some sort of intermediate symbiosis

Can we collaborate?
Symbiosis

Does this simply mean that we keep doing what we are doing and teach more “post-editing”? What we teach for the future really depends on what that future looks like, but can we predict it?
Keep doing what we are doing?

Well, what are we currently teaching?
Translator training is currently informed by translator competence models

- Language & Culture
- Translation
- Technology
- Personal & Interpersonal
- Service Provision
And what does the EMT CM have to say about technology and MT?

1. Use the most relevant IT applications, including the full range of office software, and become familiar with new IT resources.

2. Make use of search engines, corpus-based tools and CAT tools as well as CATA (computer aided text analysis) tools.

3. Pre-process, process and manage files and other media/sources as part of the translation.

4. Master the basics of MT and its impact on the translation process.

5. Assess the relevance of MT systems in a translation workflow and implement the appropriate MT system where relevant.

6. Apply other tools in support of language and translation technology, such as workflow software.
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Thus, translation graduates need to know about MT (this is a given!)
- How to implement it in workflows
- How to fix it
- How to assess its quality, including acceptability and usability

Therefore, continue to teach MT/Post-Editing/MT Evaluation

But, do we need to go beyond this…?

Some criticism that such models are “training” and not “education” oriented...

“The EMT’s competence models are sometimes cited as examples of the negative side of translator training, because of their emphasis on technology and routine activity.” (Massey and Király, 2020)
What we (at DCU) currently teach about MT

History of MT

Current MT paradigms (SMT -> NMT)

MT Evaluation

Post-editing (traditional & interactive)

Socio-ethical issues linked with MT
What we (at DCU) currently teach about MT

• Current and Future Challenges:
  • Separating TM and MT in the “convergence era”
  • Separating MT (and CAT in general) from translation practice
  • MT Evaluation – tools and interfaces
  • Multiple languages in the classroom & tool support for them
  • Very varied levels of technical ability
But do we need to do more or change?

• Yes!

• Data collation for MT
  • Finding and collating corpora that could be used for specific client domains
But do we need to do more or change?

• Annotation and rendering data ‘fit for purpose’
  • This could entail assessing quality of training data and implementing changes to ensure better MT outcomes
But do we need to do more or change?

• Identifying and managing data bias


• Available at:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am beautiful.</td>
<td>Soy hermosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a surgeon.</td>
<td>Soy cirujano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a beautiful surgeon.</td>
<td>Soy una hermosa cirujana.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am good-looking.</td>
<td>Soy guapo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a teacher.</td>
<td>Yo soy un profesor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a good-looking teacher.</td>
<td>Soy una profesora guapa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I am smart.
I am beautiful.
I am beautiful but not smart.

Je suis intelligent.
Je suis beau.
Je suis belle mais pas intelligente.
But do we need to do more or change?

• Vanmassenhove et al. 2019:
  
  • “Our experiments show that adding a gender feature to an NMT system significantly improves the translation quality for some language pairs.”
But do we need to do more or change?

• Linked to this bias challenge:
  • Dealing with issues of lexical diversity


• Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.12068
But do we need to do more or change?

- Linked to this bias challenge:
  - Dealing with issues of lexical diversity

- Evidence of lexical loss in human translation (Kruger 2012)

- If MT is trained on human translation AND works on statistical probabilities using this data then...
But do we need to do more or change?

• Dealing with issues of lexical diversity

• Vanmassenhove et al.:  
  
  • “This work presents an empirical approach to quantifying the loss of lexical richness in Machine Translation (MT) systems compared to Human Translation (HT).
  • Our experiments show how current MT systems indeed fail to render the lexical diversity of human generated or translated text.
  • The inability of MT systems to generate diverse outputs and its tendency to exacerbate already frequent patterns while ignoring less frequent ones, might be the underlying cause for, among others, the currently heavily debated issues related to gender biased output.”
But do we need to do more or change?

• Dealing with issues of lexical diversity

• However, there is also pushback on these claims (see recent blogpost from Marcin Junczys-Dowmunt at https://marian-nmt.github.io/2020/01/22/lexical-diversity.html

• “There seems to be no meaningful correlation between the lexical richness of MT and its human-judged quality, whether in source-based or reference-based human-eval scenarios.”

• i.e. no correlation and also no agreement that lexical richness means better quality
But do we need to do more or change?

- MT literacy in general
Taking stock

• We can continue doing what we are doing...
• We can add to the MT skills and competences so graduates have richer roles to play than just post-editing
• But, we are still potentially faced with a challenge...
Language and intercultural mediation?

• “I feel that one of my jobs as an educator is to educate people to think differently, is to educate the translators of the future to actually take on an active role of language mediation, consultancy and risk management.”

• “I wonder, though, whether the future might not be one closer to an intercultural mediator’s role of negotiating between stakeholders who represent different cultures, in various modes and media, synchronously and or asynchronously. We would then have to trim our teaching programmes accordingly. We are training people for the markets and needs of now and the future. How can we feed the current market and anticipate future ones, melding what has been with what might be?” (Gary Massey in Massey and Kiraly, 2020).
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• “This means that the role of the language professional of the future will have to be different from what it was before: we expect that s/he becomes an advocate for multilingualism as a globalization tool, and possesses the know-how to manage large-scale commercial, as well as not-for-profit, global initiatives that require translation and transcreation.”

Bernardini et al. (in press)
Is there anything else?

• SATT 2019 (Milan): Summer School in Advanced Translation Technology

• Impromptu survey of attendees – mostly professional translators

• What should we teach our students for the future?
• Answers from translators in SATT 2019:
  • Content creation
  • Entrepreneurship
  • Specialisation: Elite translators/transcreators, e.g. video and game localisers
    • Teach voice, style, register, how to know your audience
  • Translators as collaborative researchers (in particular in relation to MT creation and Evaluation).
The future of translator training in the MT era?

- Know about MT, how to post-edit, its integration etc.

- Yes, but also:
  - Translators as evaluators, data curators and annotators
  - Translators as MT literacy specialists
  - Translators as “premium” content producers?
    - “Creative” content/Transcreation
    - Highly specialized/high stakes content
  - Translators as advocates and implementers of multilingualism and multiculturalism, language and intercultural mediators?
  - All of the above and more besides...?
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